UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,310	09/22/2005	Hannu Makela	47121-5008	1918
10/550,310 09/22/2005 Hannu Makela 47121-5008 1918  55694 7590 03/06/2008 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC) 1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209  Hannu Makela 47121-5008 1918  EXAMINER  NGUYEN, CHUONG P  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3663	INER			
1500 K STREET, N.W.			NGUYEN, CHUONG P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/550,310	MAKELA ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuong P. Nguyen	3663				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Chuong P. Nguyen</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Elaine Spector</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 26 February 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Makela et al (WO 0209	<u>3282)</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's discussion of claim 1 appears to overcome the prior art. However, further review of the prior and further search / consideration are needed in order to determine the patentability of the claimed invention once the final response / amendment received.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
_	/Chuong Nguyen/ Examiner's signature, if requii	red				